



A REVIEW ON MYCORRHIZA-MYCOPARASITE INTERACTIONS IN THE RHIZOSPHERE

C.M. Mehta* and Kanak Sirari

Department of Agronomy, Lovely Professional University, Jalandhar-Delhi G.T. Road, Phagwara, Punjab-144411, India

*Author for correspondence: E-mail: chandra.18376@lpu.co.in

Abstract

Mycorrhiza is well known for its positive interaction on host plants by improving plant nutrient uptakes. However, there is not only host and Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi (AMF) interaction in soil rhizosphere. There are several reports revealing that other biotic interaction in soil specifically with AMF is crucially important. Interaction of AMF with soil bacteria and fungi may have an important role on function of AMF. In this review a brief description and impact of mycorrhiza-mycoparasite interaction in soil rhizosphere.

Key words: Mycorrhiza, Mycoparasitism, Plant interaction, Soil rhizosphere

Introduction

In modern agriculture, application of beneficial microorganisms has become a more reliable and alternative source to reduce the application of pesticides, (Brimmer & Boland, 2003). Several studies demonstrate the important role of beneficial microorganism like arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi, *Pseudomonas* species, *Trichoderma* species etc. in plant growth promotion, improving plant health, productivity (Harman *et al.*, 2004; Whipps, 2004; Avis *et al.*, 2008) and also the soil quality (Schloter *et al.*, 2003; Rillig & Mummey, 2006).

AM fungi is considered among the most primitive and most common symbiotic microorganisms in rhizosphere colonizing over 80% of terrestrial plants (Smith & Read, 2008). These fungi profit the plants with water and nutrients (mainly phosphorus) in exchange for carbon (Smith & Read, 2008) and there are some of the studies that support the role of AMF in drought avoidance (Ruíz-Lozano and Azcón, 1995), and also in disease resistance (Pozo *et al.*, 1999; Conrath *et al.*, 2006).

AM fungi establish intraradical colonization to the host and may extend its mycelium biomass into the rhizosphere and can produce over 100m hyphae g⁻¹ of soil in some ecosystems (Miller *et al.*, 1995; Olsson *et al.*, 1999). The extraradical hyphal network is a complex linkage network among roots and plays an important role in soil nutrients uptake (Miller & Jastrow, 1990; Rillig & Mummey, 2006; Selosse & Duplessis, 2006). Therefore the possibilities of other soil microbes associated with this mycelium cannot be ignored. The soil microbes present in the rhizosphere have a direct effect on development and function of AM symbiosis (Gryndler 2000). In soil rhizosphere, saprotrophic fungi are important factor as they have a major contribution in microbial biomass to the soil. There is a very fine network of mycelia extended all over the mineral soil to facilitate substrate collection (Wainwright 1992; Dix and Webster 1995).

Therefore it is important to understand the possible parasitism of mycelium in AMF. The possibilities of parasitism of AM fungi in soil is because they produce a large biomass (in the form of hyphae) in rhizospheric soil (Olsson 1999; Green *et al.*, 1999), including nutrients and fatty acid-rich structures (Olson 1999; Olsson & Johnson, 2005) that is an important substrate source for

microorganisms. A considerable effort has been made to understand the AMF-bacterial interaction in rhizospheric soil (Hodge, 2000; Johansson *et al.*, 2004; Rillig *et al.*, 2006) including mycorrhiza-helper bacteria (Garbaye, 1994), and also consumption of mycelium by grazers (Klironomos *et al.*, 1999; Johnson *et al.*, 2005; Jonas *et al.*, 2007) but a very few studies on AMF and other fungal interaction in rhizospheric soil has been studied (Paulitz and Menge, 1986; Martinez *et al.*, 2004; Chandanie, 2005; Purin and Rillig, 2007).

Mycoparasitism (Fungal-fungal interaction)

Parasitism, a common symbiotic relationship between two microorganisms in which one species benefits for nutrient, growth and reproduction to the harm of the other species. Fungi are widely distributed in environment and can grow in extreme environments such as deserts or deep sea sediments or areas with high salt concentrations as well as in ionizing radiation. Therefore, a continuous struggle in the form of parasitism occurs between fungal organisms to occupy the same habitat in the environment. Fungi inhabit a broad range of environmental niches and, due to their nutritional versatility, perform many essential processes like nutrient recycling and decomposing in global ecosystems. In their natural environment, fungi closely interact with other organisms such as other fungi, bacteria, plants and animals. Interspecific fungal interactions may be mediated upon contact or even at a distance and may include processes like antagonism and mycoparasitism to defend the living space.

Mycoparasitism, term commonly used to indicate the interrelationships of fungus parasite and fungus host (Barnett, 1963) and known as a major mechanism in the biocontrol processes (Howell 1987). In mycoparasitism, one fungus attack on thallus of another fungus, followed by utilizing its nutrients. Sometimes hyperparasitism term used to describe the parasitic behavior of one fungus to other. Mycoparasitism can be divided into: (a) biotrophic and (b) necrotrophic (Barnett and Binder, 1973).

In biotrophic mycoparasitism, parasite develop on living instead of a dead host structure while in necrotrophic mycoparasitism the fungal relationship results in death and destruction of the host thallus (Viterbo *et al.*, 2007). Necrotrophic mycoparasitism is most common, most aggressive and having a wide range of host. The activity of parasitic fungi on host fungi is very severe. It produces antibiotics, hydrolytic enzymes or toxin that can cause the

instant death or destruction of host fungi. In biotrophic mycoparasitism, host range is restricted and produces a specialized network or structure for absorbing nutrients from their host fungi (Manocha and Sahai 1993).

This parasitic relationship between two fungi can play a significant role in biocontrol of different fungal generated plant diseases. The most common pathogen targets are *Fusarium* sp., *Pythium* and *Rhizoctonia*. Several non pathogenic strains of *Fusarium* and *Pythium* are well characterized for their biocontrol activity against their pathogenic strains (Larkin and Fravel, 1999). Similarly mutualistic symbiotic associated mycorrhizal cultures has also demonstrated their biocontrol activity against pathogenic fusarium strains (St Arnaud *et al.*, 1997).

Mycoparasitism of Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi

Over 80% of terrestrial plants are capable of symbiotic association with AM fungi. AM fungi are the members of the zygomycetes and in present classification these all are placed under order Glomales. AM association mainly influence plant nutrient availability that leads to improved growth and productivity of plants and also having a major role in change in physico-chemical properties of soil ecosystem (Allen, 1991; Rillig, 2004).

Interaction of AM with plant root is a mutualistic symbiotic association. AM helps to plants in nutrient uptake especially phosphorus and it is also assumed that it may influence the plant's resistance to invading pathogens and in return plant supplied carbon to the fungi on which it entirely depends for growth and multiplication. (Newsham *et al.*, 1995; Smith and Read, 2008). There are several evidence that supports the increased tolerance for pathogenic infections in plants where AM fungi supplying a larger amounts of nutrients (e.g. *Glomus intraradices* and *Glomus mosseae*) (Bodker *et al.*, 2002; Karagiannidis *et al.*, 2002).

Parasitism in fungi is considered as an evolutionarily and one of the old mode of interaction (Hass *et al.*, 1994). In soil, AMF parasitism is an important interaction and it is intensively studied for the Glomeromycota phylum. However, there are some groups of fungi are known to be affected by parasites, i.e. Oomycetes (Inbar *et al.*, 1996; Siwek *et al.*, 1997; Ali-Shtayeh & Saleh, 1999), Ascomycetes (Benyagoub *et al.*, 1998) and Basidiomycetes (Gao *et al.*, 2005). Some examples of mycorrhiza mycoparasitism interactions are listed in table 1.

There are several studies supporting the intracellular occurrence of fungi but these studies merely supporting their

effects on any fitness parameter. Therefore, a clear evidence of parasitism is missing. However there are few reports that extended this research to measure the influence of fungal invasion on some AMF fitness parameters. There are both positive and negative reports on plant growth and health of AM-mycoparasitism (Chandanie *et al.*, 2005, 2009; Martinez *et al.*, 2004). in vitro culture study by Rousseau *et al.* (1996) reported that *Trichoderma harzianum* colonized all extraradical structures by penetrated the thick host wall (i.e. spores and hyphae) of AM fungi through local hydrolysis of the wall polymers. However, in the intraradical phase of the AM symbiosis such observations were not reported. The impact of this parasitism exhibited both results i.e. reduced germination (Sylvia & Schenck; 1983) and no effect on spore germination (Paulitz & Menge, 1984) whereas both studies supports that there is no effect on root colonization and sporulation by the mycorrhizal fungus. These contradictions in results may be due to different species and environmental conditions.

Mechanism involved in mycorrhiza- mycoparsite interaction

There are two types of parasitic fungi i.e. biotrophs (the host fungus remains alive) and necrotrophs (parasitism results in death of the host fungus) and interaction range from close contact to hyphal disruption (Jeffries, 1995). There are several mechanisms like coiling of parasitic hyphae around host hyphae, physical damage and lysis of host hyphal walls, and disruption of cell organelles (Dix & Webster, 1995) which may involve in this kind of interaction. On the other hand, the formation of stable interfaces seems to be more common in biotrophic parasitism, where absorption of nutrients does not lead to host death. The formation of haustorium during invasion of host mycelium is an example of common evidence of these interfaces (Jeffries, 1995). Study on AM- *Trichoderma* myco parasitism reports that mycelium of the AM fungus acts as a channel for the entry of *T. harzianum* into the plant roots. This phenomenon occurred through a multistep extraradical hyphae and spores invasion process involving contact, surface attachment and penetration, and its subsequent extension into the IRM (i.e. intraradical hyphae and vesicles) before exiting in the root cells (De Jaeger *et al.*, 2010).

Till date, study of mycorrhiza-mycoparasitism is very limited therefore in near future there are several mechanism that needs to be explored for better understanding of AMF-mycoparasitism

Table 1 : Various studies on AMF and its positive parasitism with other fungi

Glomus species	Parasitism with	Reference
<i>Glomus macrocarpus</i>	<i>Pythium-like fungus</i>	Ross & Ruttencutter (1977)
<i>Gigaspora gigantea</i>	<i>Pythium-like fungus</i>	Ross & Ruttencutter (1977)
<i>Glomus epigaeus</i>	<i>Acaulospora pseudolongissima</i>	Daniels & Menge (1980)
<i>Glomus fasciculatus</i>	<i>H. fuscoatra</i>	Daniels & Menge (1980)
<i>Gigaspora gigantea</i>	Isolated -31 from AMF spores	Lee & Koske (1994)
<i>Glomus intraradices</i>	<i>Trichoderma harzianum</i>	Rousseau <i>et al.</i> (1996)
<i>Glomus intraradices</i>	<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> f. sp. dianthi	St Arnaud <i>et al.</i> (1997)

References

Ali-Shtayeh, M.S. and Saleh, A.S.F. (1999). Isolation of *Pythium acanthicum*, *P. oligandrum* and *P. periplocum* from soil and evaluation of their mycoparasitic activity

and biocontrol efficacy against selected phytopathogenic *Pythium* species. *Mycopathologia* 145: 143–153.

Allen, M.F. and Allen, M.F. (1991). The ecology of mycorrhizae. Cambridge University Press.

- Avis, T.J.; Gravel, V.; Antoun, H. and Tweddell, R.J. (2008). Multifaceted beneficial effects of rhizosphere microorganisms on plant health and productivity. *Soil Biol Biochem*, 40: 1733–1740.
- Barnett, H.L. and Binder, F.L. (1973). The fungal host-parasite relationship. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 11: 273–292.
- Benyagoub, M.; Benhamou, N. and Carisse, O. (1998). Cytochemical investigation of the antagonistic interaction between a *Microsphaeropsis* sp. (isolate P130A) and *Venturia inaequalis*. *Phytopathology* 88: 605–613.
- Bodker, L.; Joller, R.K.; Kristensen, K. and Rosendahl, S. (2002). Interactions between indigenous arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and *Aphanomyces euteiches* in field-grown pea. *Mycorrhiza*, 12: 712.
- Brimmer, T.A. and Boland, G.J. (2003). A review of the non-target effects of fungi used to biologically control plant diseases. *Agr Ecosyst Environ*, 100: 3–16.
- Chandanie, W.A.; Kubota, M. and Hyakumachi, M. (2009). Interactions between the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus *Glomus mosseae* and plant growth-promoting fungi and their significance for enhancing plant growth and suppressing damping-off of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). *Appl Soil Ecol* 41: 336–341.
- Chandanie, W.A.; Kubota, M. and Hyakumachi, M. (2005). Interaction between arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus *Glomus mosseae* and plant growth promoting fungus *Phoma* sp. on their root colonization and growth promotion of cucumber. *Mycoscience*, 46, 201–204.
- Daniels, B.A. and Menge, J.A. (1980). Hyperparasitization of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Phytopathology* 70: 584–588.
- De Jaeger, N.; Decock, C.; Declerck, S.; De La Providencia, I.E. (2010). Coupling autotrophic in vitro plant cultivation system to scanning electron microscope to study plant-fungal interactions. *Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research*, 8(S1): 69–78.
- Dix, N.J. and Webster, J. (1995). *Fungal ecology*. Chapman and Hall, England.
- Gao, K.; Liu, X.; Kang, Z. and Mendgen, K. (2005). Mycoparasitism of *Rhizoctonia solani* by endophytic *Chaetomium spirale* ND35: ultrastructure and cytochemistry of the interaction. *J Phytopathol* 153: 280–290.
- Garbaye, J. (1994). Helper bacteria: a new dimension to the mycorrhizal symbiosis. *New Phytol* 128: 197–210.
- Green, H.; Larsen, J.; Olsson, P.A.; Jensen, D.F. and Jakobsen, I. (1999). Suppression of the biocontrol agent *Trichoderma harzianum* by mycelium of the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus *Glomus intraradices* in root-free soil. *Appl Environ Microb* 65: 1428–1434.
- Gryndler, M. (2000). Interactions of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi with other soil organisms. In: Kapulnik Y, Douds DD (eds) *Arbuscular mycorrhizas: physiology and function*. Kluwer, Dordrecht, 239–262.
- Harman, G.E.; Howell, C.R.; Viterbo, A.; Chet, I. and Lorito, M. (2004) *Trichoderma* species – opportunistic, avirulent plant symbionts. *Nat Rev Microbiol*, 2: 43–56.
- Hass, H.; Taylor, T.N. and Remy, W. (1994). Fungi from the lower Devonian Rhynie Chert: mycoparasitism. *Am J Bot* 81: 29–37.
- Hodge, A. (2000). Microbial ecology of the arbuscular mycorrhiza. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 32: 91–96.
- Howell, C.R. (1987). Relevance of mycoparasitism in the biological control of *Rhizoctonia solani* by *Gliocladium virens*. *Phytopathol.* 77(7): 992–994.
- Inbar, J.; Menendez, A. and Chet, I. (1996). Hyphal interaction between *Trichoderma harzianum* and *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* and its role in biological control. *Soil Biol Biochem*, 28: 757–763.
- Jeffries, P. (1995) Biology and ecology of mycoparasitism. *Can J Bot* 73: 1284–1290.
- Johansson, J.F.; Paul, L.R. and Finlay, R.D. (2004). Microbial interactions in the mycorrhizosphere and their significance for sustainable agriculture. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol*, 48: 1–13.
- Johnson, D.; Krsek, M.; Wellingt, E.M.H.; Stott, A.W.; Cole, L.; Bardgett, R.D.; Read, D.J. and Leake, J.R. (2005). Soil invertebrates disrupt carbon flow through fungal networks. *Science*, 309: 1047–1047.
- Jonas, J.L.; Wilson, G.W.T.; White, P.M. and Joern, A. (2007). Consumption of mycorrhizal and saprophytic fungi by Collembola in grassland soils. *Soil Biol Biochem*, 39: 2594–2602.
- Karagiannidis, N.; Bletsos, F. and Stavropoulos, N. (2002). Effect of verticillium wilt (*Verticillium dahliae* kleb.) and mycorrhiza (*Glomus mosseae*) on root colonization, growth and nutrient uptake in tomato and eggplant seedlings. *Sci Horticulturae*, 94: 145–156.
- Klironomos, J.N.; Bednarczuk, E.M. and Neville, J. (1999). Reproductive significance of feeding on saprobic and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi by the collembolan, *Folsomia candida*. *Funct Ecol.*, 13: 756–761.
- Larkin, R.P. and Fravel, D.R. (1999). Mechanisms of action and dose–response relationships governing biological control of fusarium wilt of tomato by nonpathogenic *Fusarium* spp. *Phytopathol.* 89: 1152 – 1161.
- Lee, P.J. and Koske, R.E. (1994). *Gigaspora gigantea* – parasitism of spores by fungi and actinomycetes. *Mycol Res*, 98: 458–466
- Manocha, M.S. and Sahai, A.S. (1993). Mechanisms of recognition in necrotrophic and biotrophic mycoparasites. *Can. J. Microbiol.* 39(3): 269–275.
- Martinez, A.; Obertello, M.; Pardo, A.; Ocampo, J.A. and Godeas, A. (2004). Interactions between *Trichoderma pseudokoningii* strains and the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi *Glomus mosseae* and *Gigaspora rosea*. *Mycorrhiza* 14: 79–84.
- Miller, R.M.; Reinhardt, D.R. and Jastrow, J.D. (1995) External hyphal production of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in pasture and tallgrass prairie communities. *Oecologia*, 103: 17–23.
- Newsham, K.K.; Fitter, A.H. and Watkinson, A.R. (1995). *Arbuscular mycorrhiza* protect an annual grass from root pathogenic fungi in the field. *J. Ecol.*, 83: 991–1000.
- Olsson, P.A. (1999). Signature fatty acids provide tools for determination of the distribution and interactions of mycorrhizal fungi in soil. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol*, 29: 303–310.
- Olsson, P.A.; van Aarle, I.M.; Gavito, M.E.; Bengtson, P. and Bengtsson, G. (2005). C-13 incorporation into signature fatty acids as an assay for carbon allocation in arbuscular mycorrhiza. *Appl Environ Microb* 71: 2592–2599.
- Paulitz, T.C. and Menge, J.A. (1984). Is *Spizellomyces punctatum* a parasite or saprophyte of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi? *Mycologia* 76: 99–107.

- Pozo, M.J.; Azcón-Aguilar, C.; Dumas-Gaudot, E. and Barea, J.M. (1999) β -1,3-Glucanase activities in tomato roots inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and/or *Phytophthora parasitica*: time course analysis and possible involvement in bioprotection. *Plant Sci.* 141: 149–157.
- Purin, S. and Rillig, M.C. (2008). Parasitism of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi: reviewing the evidence. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, 279(1): 8-14.
- Rillig, M.C.; Mummey, D.L.; Ramsey, P.W.; Klironomos, J.N. and Gannon, J.E. (2006). Phylogeny of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi predicts community composition of symbiosis-associated bacteria. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 57: 389–395.
- Rillig, M.C. (2004). Arbuscular mycorrhizae, glomalin, and soil aggregation. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* 84(4): 355-363.
- Rillig, M.C. and Mummey, D.L. (2006) Mycorrhizas and soil structure. *New Phytol* 171: 41–53.
- Ross, J.P. and Ruttencutter, R. (1977). Population dynamics of two vesicular-arbuscular endomycorrhizal fungi and the role of hyperparasitic fungi. *Ecol Epidemiol* 67: 490–496.
- Rousseau, A.; Benhammou, N.; Chet, I. and Piche, Y. (1996). Mycoparasitism of the extramatrical phase of *Glomus intraradices* by *Trichoderma harzianum*. *Phytopathology* 86: 434–443.
- Ruíz-Lozano, J.M. and Azcón, R. (1995). Hyphal contribution to water uptake in mycorrhizal plants as affected by the fungal species and water status. *Physiol. Plantarum*, 95: 472–478.
- Schlöter, M.; Dilly, O. and Munch, J.C. (2003). Indicators for evaluating soil quality. *Agr Ecosyst Environ* 98: 255–262.
- Selosse, M.A. and Duplessis, S. (2006). More complexity in the mycorrhizal world. *New Phytol* 172: 600–604.
- Siwek, K.; Harris, A.R. and Scott, E.S. (1997). Mycoparasitism of *Pythium ultimum* by antagonistic binucleate *Rhizoctonia* isolates in agar media and on capsicum seeds. *J Phytopathol*, 145: 417–423.
- Smith, S.E. and Read, D.J. (2008) *Mycorrhizal Symbiosis*. Academic Press, Cambridge, UK.
- St-Arnaud, M.; Hamel, C.; Vimard, B.; Caron, M. and Fortin, J.A. (1997). Inhibition of *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *dianthi* in the non-VAM species *Dianthus caryophyllus* by co-culture with *Tagetes patula* companion plants colonized by *Glomus intraradices*. *Can. J. Bot.* 75: 998-1005.
- Sylvia, D.M. and Schenck, N.C. (1983). Germination of chlamydospores of three *Glomus* species as affected by soil matric potential and fungal contamination. *Mycologia* 75: 30–35.
- Viterbo, A.; Inbar, J.; Hadar, Y. and Chet, I. (2007). Plant disease biocontrol and induced resistance via fungal mycoparasites. *The Mycota IV: Environmental and Microbial Relationships*. (eds Kubicek, CP & Druzhinina, IS). Springer, Heidelberg, Germany, 127-146.
- Wainwright, M. (1992). The impact of fungi on environmental biogeochemistry. In: Carrol GC, Wicklow DT (eds) *The fungal community*. Dekker, New York, 601–618.
- Whipps, J.M. (2004). Prospects and limitations for mycorrhizas in biocontrol of root pathogens. *Can J Bot* 82: 1198–1227.